US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

PMRA a.j. code (CCH)

Field Accumulation in Rotational Crops **OPPTS 860.1900**

DACO 7.4.4

MRID: 45623413

Submission # 001-1027, 1036, 1043



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF PREVENTION, PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

PC Code: 128008

MEMORANDUM

Date:

July 2, 2003

Reviewers:

Date: 9.2.03

Maxie Jo Nelson, Chemist

Reviewer

RAB2/HED (7509C)

Henri P. Bietlot, Chemist

Peer reviewer

FREAS, HED, PMRA

Branch Senior Scientist

RAB2/HED (7509C)

Section Head

FREAS, HED, PMRA

DP Barcode: D281841 and D297173

Petition No.: 1F06313

Citation:

45623413 Jordan, J. (2002) Cotton Field Rotational Crop Study for BAS 510F:

Lab Project Number: 2002/5002425. Unpublished study prepared by BASF

Corporation. 51 p.

Sponsor:

BASF Corporation

Background

The information contained herein was compiled by Dynamac Corporation (20440 Century Boulevard, Suite 100, Germantown MD 20874), contractor, under the supervision of RAB2/HED. This DER has undergone secondary review by RAB2, and reflects current HED and Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) policies. This DER was also peer-reviewed by PMRA.

Executive Summary

BASF Corporation has submitted the results of an extended field rotational crop study on cotton. Nine trials were conducted in Regions 2 (1 trial; NC), 4 (2 trials; AR and MS), 6 (1 trial; OK), 8 (3 trials; TX), and 10 (2 trials; CA). Geographical representation of cotton data is adequate.

PC Code: 128008 MRID: 45623413 Submission # 001-1027, 1036, 1043

At each field trial, three applications of the 70% wettable granule (WG) formulation were made to the bare soil surface. The first application was made at -0.72 lb ai/A (0.81 kg ai/ha) followed by two applications at 0.55 lb ai/A/application (0.62 kg ai/ha), with 6- to 9-day retreatment intervals, for a total rate of 1.81-1.83 lb ai/A (2.03-2.05 kg ai/ha). Cotton was planted onto the treated soil as a rotational crop 13-15 days following the last application of the test formulation. Cotton plants were allowed to mature according to good agricultural practices. At maturity, samples of the cottonseed and cotton gin byproducts were collected by hand (3 trials; cottonseed only), picker (3 trials), or stripper (3 trials), and analyzed for residues of BAS 510 F using LC/MS/MS Method D9908. Concurrent method recovery data indicate that the LC/MS/MS method is adequate for data collection.

Samples of cottonseed and cotton gin byproducts were held in frozen storage for 80-112 days prior to extraction, and were analyzed within 0-2 days of extraction. Adequate storage stability data (refer to the DER for MRID 45405109) are available to support the storage conditions and intervals of samples from the submitted rotational crop field trials on cottonseed.

In rotational crops planted 13-15 days following the last application of the 70% WG formulation to bare soil for a total rate of 1.81-1.83 lb ai/A (2.03-2.05 kg ai/ha), residues of BAS 510 F were <0.05 ppm in/on cottonseed and <0.05-0.20 ppm in/on cotton gin byproducts grown in treated soil.

The residue data in the current submission are considered acceptable to fulfill the registration requirement for an extensive field rotational crop study on cotton.

GLP Compliance

Signed and dated GLP, Quality Assurance, and Data Confidentiality statements were provided. No deviations from regulatory requirements were cited.

Field Accumulation in Rotational Crops

OPPTS 860.1900

DACO 7.4.4

PC Code: 128008

MRID: 45623413 Submission # 001-1027, 1036, 1043

PMRA a.i. code (CCH)

1. Materials and Methods

1.1. Test Substance

Active Ingredient

Common Name:

Nicobifen (ISO, proposed)

IUPAC Name:

2-Chloro-N-(4'-chlorobiphenyl-2-yl)nicotinamide

CAS Name:

3-Pyridinecarboxamide, 2-chloro-N-(4'chloro[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-

CAS Number:

188425-85-6

Company Name:

BAS 510 F

Other Synonyms:

BASF Registry No. 300355

Structure:

BAS 510 F

1.2. Trial Information

Cotton						Grov	ving R	egion						Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	Number of Trials
Submitted	•	1	•	2	*	1	-#	3	4	2	-			9
Requested 1.2	•	1	-	3/2	-	1	-	4/3	-	3/2	•		, -	12/9

When two entries are provided, the second entry represents a 25 percent reduction in the number of trials due to the pesticidal use resulting in no quantifiable residues.

² For cotton gin byproducts, at least three field trials for each type of harvesting (stripper and picker) are needed, for a total of six field trials.

BAS 510 F Cotton PMRA a.i. code (CCH)

Field Accumulation in Rotational Crops
OPPTS 860.1900
DACO 7.4.4

PC Code: 128008 MRID: 45623413 Submission # 001-1027, 1036, 1043

Table 1.2.2. Rotational Crop Trial Information	ional Crop 1	Trial Informatio	ŭ						
Location (County,	EPA	Formulation	Applic. Rate	Tank Mix	Rotated Crop;	PBI		Harvest Procedures	
State, 1 cat)	negion	•	(10 aVA) (Ag avna)		VAI ICLY	(Skig)	Method	Matrix	DAP
Wake, NC, 2001	2	70% WG	0.7224 + 0.553 + 0.541 = 1.82 [2.03]	None	Cotton; DP 5415-RR	14	Hand	cottonseed	150
Crittenden, AR,	*	70% WG	0.7220 + 0.5512 + 0.5515	None	Cotton;	14	Picker	cottonseed	155
1377			= 1.82 (2.04)		S14793R			gin byproducts	155
Washington, MS,	4	70% WG	0.7114 + 0.5512 + 0.5498	None	Coffort,	14	Picker	cottonseed	151
2001			= 1.81 [2.03]	-	Suregrow 125 Br			gin byproducts	25.
Caddo, OK, 2001	9	70% WG	0.7216+0.5457+0.5537	None	Cotton;	14	Stripper	cottonseed	162
	"	***	[-0.7] 70.1 <u> </u>		BG RR			gin byproducts	791
Armstrong, TX, 2001	80	70% WG	0.7232 + 0.5549 + 0.5520 = 1.83[2.05]	None	Cotton; PM2200RR	14	Hamd	cottonseed	154
Lamb, TX, 2001	60	70% WG	0.721 + 0.555 + 0.554 =	None	Cotton;	13	Stripper	cottonseed	154
			1.83 [2.05]		PM 2326 BC/RR			gin byproducts	154
Hockley, TX,	∞	70% WG	0.72 + 0.5571 + 0.5512 =	None	Cotton;	51	Stripper	cottonseed	161
B			1.83 [2.04]		PM 2326 BG/KR			gin byproducts	191
Tulare, CA, 2001	01	DW 300	0.727 + 0.55 + 0.5495 =	None	Cotton;	14	Picker	cottonseed	191
			1.83 [2.04]		DP 6207 Acala	×		gin byproducts	161
King, CA, 2001	9	70% WG	0.7226 + 0.553 + 0.5512 =	None	Cotton;	71	Hand	cottonseed	127
			1.0.2.00 [2.0.1		i nyagen i				

Three sequential broadcast applications were made directly to the soil, with 6- to 9-day retreatment intervals. Applications were made using ground equipment. in 9.9-30.22 gal/A of water.

2 Represents the number of days after planting (DAP) rotational crop samples were harvested

Note: The soil at the trial sites was loamy sand, silt loam, clay loam, and sandy loam. Information was provided pertaining to soil composition, pH, OM and percent water holding; no unusual characteristics were reported.

Subm

PC Code: 128008 MRID: 45623413

PMRA a.i. code (CCH)

DACO 7.4.4

Submission # 001-1027, 1036, 1043

A single untreated and duplicate treated samples of cottonseed were harvested from each field trial at normal maturity, 150-162 days after planting (DAP), by hand or mechanically (stripper or mechanical picker). Undelinted cottonseeds were collected from all 9 test sites. Cotton gin byproducts were collected from 6 test sites; three sites harvested by stripper and 3 sites harvested by picker. Sampling procedures and sample weights were not otherwise specified.

1.3. Post-harvest Procedures

Samples of cottonseed and cotton gin byproducts were frozen (temperature not specified) on the day of harvest. Samples were then shipped frozen to BASF (Research Triangle Park, NC) for analysis. Samples were held in frozen storage for 80-112 days prior to extraction, and were analyzed within 0-2 days of extraction. Adequate storage stability data (refer to the DER for MRID 45405109) are available to support the storage conditions and intervals of samples from the submitted rotational crop field trials on cottonseed.

Table 1.3.	ble 1.3.1. Summary of Storage Conditions at Analytical Facility.				
Matrix	RAC or Extract	Storage Temperature ('C)	Duration		
Cotton -	Seed	<-10 C	80-112 days (2.6-3.7 months)		
	Gin byproducts		88-106 days (2.9-3.5 months)		

1.4. Analytical Methods

Samples of rotated cotton commodities (cottonseed and cotton gin byproducts) were analyzed for residues of BAS 510 F using LC/MS/MS method D9908, the data collection method for plants. Briefly, samples were extracted with methanol:water:2N HCl (70:25:5, v:v:v) and filtered. An aliquot of the filtrate was cleaned-up using liquid:liquid partitioning with saturated NaCl and cyclohexane. An aliquot of the cyclohexane phase was further cleaned-up using silica gel solid phase extraction (SPE); residues were eluted from the silica gel SPE with 4% ethyl acetate in dichloromethane (DCM). The eluate was evaporated to dryness and dissolved in LC/MS/MS mobile phase for analysis. Refer to the DER for MRID 45405027 for a complete description of the quantitation procedures. The validated limit of quantitation (LOQ) was <0.05 ppm for residues of BAS 510 F in/on rotated cottonseed and cotton gin byproducts.

2. Results

Table 2.1. Summary of C	Concurrent Analytical Method Vi	alidation.	
Crop Matrix	Fortification Level (ppm)	Recoveries (%)	Mean Recovery ± SD
Cottonseed	0.05, 1.0	76, 80, 82, 86, 88, 94	84 ± 6
Cotton gin byproducts	0.05, 1.0	74, 84	· s. 79

DACO 7.4.4

MRID: 45623413 Submission # 001-1027, 1036, 1043

PC Code: 128008

PMRA a.i. code (CCH)

Location (County, State, Year)	Crop; Variety	Commodity	Plant-Back Interval (days)	BAS 510 F Residues (ppm)
Wake, NC, 2001	Cotton; DP 5415-RR	cottonseed	14	<0.05, <0.05
Crittenden, AR, 2001	Cotton;	cottonseed	14	<0.05, <0.05
	ST4793R	gin byproducts		<0.05, <0.05
Washington, MS,	Cotton;	cottonseed	14	<0.05, <0.05
2001	Suregrow 125 Br	gin byproducts		<0.05, <0.05
Caddo, OK, 2001	Cotton;	cottonseed	14	<0.05, <0.05
	Paymaster 2280 BG RR	gin byproducts		<0.05, <0.05
Armstrong, TX, 2001	Cotton; PM2200RR	cottonseed	14	<0.05, <0.05
Lamb, TX, 2001	Cotton;	cottonseed	13	<0.05, <0.05
	PM 2326 BG/RR	gin byproducts		<0.05, <0.05
Hockley, TX, 2001	Cotton;	cottonseed	15	<0.05, <0.05 ²
	PM 2326 BG/RR	gin byproducts		<0.05, <0.05
Tulare, CA, 2001	Cotton;	cottonseed	14	<0.05, <0.05
	DP 6207 Acala	gin byproducts		0.14, 0.198
King, CA, 2001	Cotton;	cottonseed	14	<0.05, <0.05

The original analyses of the replicate samples did not agree (<0.05 and 0.089 ppm). The petitioner indicated that the initial analysis showed an interference or contamination of the sample. Subsequent duplicate analyses resulted in non-quantifiable residues (<0.05 ppm) which are reported here.

Phytogen 57

² The original analyses of the replicate samples did not agree (<0.05 and 0.455 ppm). The petitioner indicated that the initial analysis showed an interference or contamination of the sample. Subsequent duplicate analyses resulted in inconsistent results (<0.05 ppm and 0.173 ppm) and the petitioner decided to redo the sample in four replicates. Subsequent quadruplicate analyses resulted in non-quantifiable residues (<0.05 ppm) which are reported here.

Commodity	Commodity Total Applic.			Residue Le	vels (ppm)	
	Rate (lb ai/A)	Interval (days)	Maximum	HAFT	Mean	Std. Dev
Cottonseed	1.81-1.83	··	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.0
Cotton gin byproducts	1.81-1.83	13-15	0.198	0.169	0.070	0.048

Apparent residues of BAS 510 F in all untreated samples of cottonseed and cotton gin byproducts were below the method LOQ (<0.05 ppm).

Field Accumulation in Rotational Crops OPPTS 860.1900

DACO 7.4.4

PC Code: 128008 MRID: 45623413 Submission # 001-1027, 1036, 1043

PMRA a.i. code (CCH)

3. Discussion

3.1. Methods

Nine field trials were conducted in Regions 2 (1 trial; NC), 4 (2 trials; AR and MS), 6 (1 trial; OK), 8 (3 trials; TX), and 10 (2 trials; CA) on cotton as a rotational crop. OPPTS 860.1900 requires that the same number of trials for primary tolerances be conducted on crops for which inadvertent or indirect (rotational crop) tolerances would be required. The geographical representation and number of field trials as required by OPPTS 860.1500 (Table 5) for cottonseed is adequate in this instance, since all residues in cottonseed were below the method LOQ (<0.05 ppm).

At each field trial, three sequential applications of the 70% WG formulation were made to the bare soil surface. The first application was made at ~0.72 lb ai/A (0.81 kg ai/ha) followed by two applications at 0.55 lb ai/A/application (0.62 kg ai/ha/application), with 6- to 9-day retreatment intervals, for a total rate of 1.81-1.83 lb ai/A. Applications were made using ground equipment in 9.9-30.22 gal/A (111-338 l/ha) of water. The rotational crop cotton was planted 13-15 days following the last application to the soil and allowed to grow to normal maturity.

Weather conditions during the course of the rotational crop field trials on cotton were reported as normal temperatures at all trials; above normal rainfall at the MS trial; below normal rainfall at the NC, OK, and TX trials; and no irrigation at the NC, AR, and MS trials.

Samples of cottonseed and cotton gin byproducts were collected by hand (3 trials; cottonseed only), picker (3 trials), or stripper (3 trials); stored frozen (< -10 C) pending analysis; and, analyzed for residues of BAS 510 F using LC/MS/MS Method D9908. Concurrent method recovery data included in this submission indicate that the LC/MS/MS method is adequate for data collection.

The maximum storage intervals from harvest-to-analysis were 106 days (3.7 months) for cottonseed and 112 days (3.5 months) for cotton gin byproducts. Adequate storage stability data (refer to the 860.1380 DER for MRID 45405109) are available which demonstrate that residues of BAS 510F are stable for up to 12 months in/on various crop commodities, including rape seed and wheat grain, forage, and straw. The available storage stability data support the storage conditions and intervals of the rotated cottonseed and cotton gin byproducts samples.

3.2. Results

Cotton was planted as a rotational crop 13-15 days following the last application of the 70% WG formulation to bare soil for a total rate of 1.81-1.83 lb ai/A (2.03-2.05 kg ai/ha). Inadvertent residues of BAS 510 F were <0.05 ppm in/on cottonseed and <0.05-0.20 ppm in/on cotton gin byproducts.

Field Accumulation in Rotational Crops
OPPTS 860.1900

PC Code: 128008 MRID: 45623413

PMRA a.i. code (CCH)

DACO 7.4.4

Submission # 001-1027, 1036, 1043

4. Deficiencies

None.

5. References

45672101 Wofford, J.; et al (2002) A Summary of Weather Conditions for BAS 510 F Field Residue Studies Conducted from 1999-2001 Data: BASF Registration Document Number: 2002/5002878. Unpublished study prepared by BASF Agro Research. 24 p.